

6th Call of the NAMA Facility

Clarifications III

Published on 20 February 2019

Abbreviations

ASP	Applicant Support Partner
DPP	Detailed Preparation Phase
FAQ	Frequently Asked Questions and Clarifications (a NAMA Facility document)
GID	General Information Document (a NAMA Facility document)
INGO	International non-governmental organization
NAMA	Nationally Appropriate Mitigation Action
NDC	Nationally determined contributions
NGO	Non-governmental organization
NSO	NAMA Support Organization
NSP	NAMA Support Project
UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change

Contents

A) Eligible countries	2
B) Eligible sectors and technologies	2
C) Eligible Applicants / Applicant Support Partners / NSO	2
D) Eligible support instruments in NSPs	3
E) Submission of NSP Outlines	3
F) Selection criteria	3
G) Detailed Preparation Phase	3

Question	A) Eligible countries
CN III-1	<p>Q: Can an Outline also be submitted for an NSP in a country that has no NDC, but rather only an INDC?</p> <p>A: Yes, this is possible, as not all countries have yet submitted their NDC.</p>

Question	B) Eligible sectors and technologies
CN III-2	<p>Q: Regarding additionality:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Do you accept the UNFCCC procedure in Tool 21 (https://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAMethodologies/tools/am-tool-21-v12.pdf), which establishes a positive list for technology and project activity types that are defined as automatically additional, without going into the documentation of the barriers for certain project sizes up? Do you also accept reference to the procedure in Tool 1 (https://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAMethodologies/tools/am-tool-01-v7.0.0.pdf), where additionality is assumed to be given, if the project activity is first-of-its-kind? <p>A: Applicants may consider to refer to the procedure as a way to demonstrate additionality for individual investments targeted by the NSP. However, the provision of a barrier analysis for the overall NAMA is expected as a basis for the NSP rationale and design. Please also note that additionality needs to be demonstrated for the overall NSP and is not addressed or covered by the CDM/PoA procedures.</p>
CN III-3	<p>Q: Are activities from a country's National Adaption Programme of Action (NAPA) with clear mitigation potential eligible?</p> <p>A: The NAMA Facility's focus is on support MITIGATION action, while adaption might be a co-benefit. Hence, activities from the NAPA might be eligible if the mitigation aspect is a relevant feature and it contributes to the country's mitigation agenda.</p>
CN III-4	<p>Q: Does the GHG potential of a sector targeted by the NSP have to be explicitly mentioned in the NDC?</p> <p>A: No, this is not required.</p>
CN III-5	<p>Q: What exactly does it mean that the NSP should reference a specific NDC? Does the mitigation action need to be specifically mentioned in the NDC itself?</p> <p>A: The NSP should integrate into sector-wide programmes and policies that fall in line with the mitigation strategies outlined in the NDC.</p>
CN III-6	<p>Q: Is carbon sequestration in biomass (for example, agroforestry) eligible for NSP funding?</p> <p>A: Yes, carbon sequestration in biomass is eligible.</p>
CN III-7	<p>Q: Are projects aiming at emission intensity reductions eligible, e.g. in the livestock sector?</p> <p>A: It is not excluded. As all NSPs, this kind of NSP would also need to demonstrate that it brings the sector onto a low-carbon trajectory in line with the 2°C pathway.</p>

Question	C) Eligible Applicants / Applicant Support Partners / NSO
CN III-8	<p>Q: If one international/bilateral organisation sub-contracts another international organisation, do the two organisations form a consortium?</p> <p>A: In general yes, they could choose to apply as a consortium. In this case, both organisations would need to submit Annex 3.</p>

Question D) Eligible support instruments in NSPs	
CN III-9	<p>Q: Could improved enforcement of regulations be included as a source of financial leverage?</p> <p>A: Improved enforcement could contribute to an indirect mobilisation of finance in a country, however it might be challenging for Applicants to demonstrate a direct financial leverage effect.</p>
CN III-10	<p>Q: Is it possible to propose a financial instrument even if we are not yet sure whether there is a demand from the target group?</p> <p>A: The financial instrument should be designed in a way to respond to the identified needs and barriers for the target group. The design, readiness and feasibility of the financial mechanism will be an important part of the assessment.</p>

Question E) Submission of NSP Outlines	
CN III-11	<p>Q: Is it possible to organise the Outputs and Activities the same way they are organized on the General Information Document, without specifying which Activity will contribute to which Output, or should there be a different ToC with a tree structure, under which each Output specifies the Activities leading to it?</p> <p>A: It is recommended to specify Activities according to each Output unless there are cross-cutting Activities.</p>
CN III-12	<p>Q: Can endorsement letters be in a language other than English?</p> <p>A: Yes, as long as they are accompanied by an English translation.</p>
CN III-13	<p>Q: Annex 6 asks whether there is a "dynamic in the baseline scenario (without NSP support)" - could you please elaborate on this?</p> <p>A: It is rather asking, in the absence of NSP support and activities, how would the emissions develop over time.</p>
CN III-14	<p>Q: Is it possible to submit a project synopsis sheet with a letter of endorsement first, and after acceptance of the project idea, provide the information in the required templates?</p> <p>A: No, this is not possible. The completeness of the application documents based on NAMA Facility templates (i.e. Outline and Annexes) is essential to fulfil the formal requirements as part of the eligibility criteria.</p>

Question F) Selection criteria	
CN III-15	<p>Q: Is it necessary to explain what will happen with funds that reflow after the project has finished?</p> <p>A: "Yes, Applicants are requested to provide indicative information on the proposed use of reflow of funding in Annex 7 (financial mechanism). Further details will be requested in the Proposal after the Detailed Preparation Phase. Please also see CN II-22 for more details.</p>
CN III-16	<p>Q: How do we need to demonstrate the leverage potential (in-kind or cash) in the Proposal phase?</p> <p>A: The committed and expected funding, with specific figures from both public and private sources, should be indicated.</p>

Question G) Detailed Preparation Phase	
CN III-17	<p>Q: Is there a co-financing requirement for the DPP?</p> <p>A: No, there is no co-financing requirement for the DPP.</p>



Last but not least...

Couldn't find an answer to your question?

In line with our [clarification policy for the 6th Call](#), please submit your question in writing to contact@nama-facility.org. We will publish the clarification on the NAMA Facility's website and also respond to your query directly. The next Clarification Note will be published on 6 March 2019 – be sure to submit your question to the NAMA Facility by 4 March 2019 to ensure that it is included.